

ScholComm Minutes (Rolling)
2019-2020

August 12, 2019

-Set up Google Workspace, obtained emails

-Determine Project & Leads (What do we want to do this year?)

[-Updated the ScholComm Committee Potential Project Spreadsheet](#)

-onboarding new team members, how do we add new people to the conversation that is already happening?

-What projects need to be taken over?

-Dana is already working on OJS, taking over for Patrick

-Heather, interested in open access, working toward an OA policy, Heather added (Alyssa collaborating), Mark S., OA flipping

-Heather interested in OA Policy development; Mark also interested, and to connect this work to ASCSU resolution 3376-FA/19 and its next steps

-Kevin, help Dana with OJS

-Kathryn Lage, lurking, but interested in faculty profiles, interested in ORCID

-Chloe, Copyright biggest interest

-Beth, Copyright First Responders, Copyright Website, Onboarding material

-Rita, IMLS/ACRL grants?

-Mark B., will help with ORCID

-Mark B. brought up the Elsevier question, supporting the UC in this, does this fit the scope? (Patrick there is a COLD Task Force/Swat Team working on this), recommendations toward partnering with the UC on this issue

-next steps for this? Perhaps a task force? How can ScholComm work in partnership with the groups that are working on this?

-Write a letter? Pull together a survey (maybe too late for this), having a conversation with COLD about whether we should be collecting data about campus opinions...

-We are not at the negotiating table

-Patrick concerned about the politics, discussing our role

-Mark B.'s recommendation: "Can we consider whether to formulate a recommendation to COLD to cancel our systemwide Elsevier big deal and repurpose those funds (\$4M annually)? I'd propose that the repurposed funds would 1) ensure that all CSU faculty have their APCs covered to publish in OA journals (just like UC faculty have), and 2) the remainder would go to support CSU memberships in OA platforms and OA publishing society membership subscriptions"

<https://osc.universityofcalifornia.edu/open-access-at-uc/open-access-publishing-at-uc/publishing-discounts/>

-Recognizing that different campuses have different priorities and capacities, but we have a role in this conversation and we need to determine what that should be

How do we set agendas and plan for the next meeting?

-Alyssa: liking the idea of an open document that we can add to, having items that are open ended that we can continue to check in on

-See the "Agenda Planning" Document within the workspace, feel free to add to it, Patrick will send a reminder a week before our next meeting

-How do we determine days and times to meet? Last year it was one regular meeting, would this work again?

-Doodle poll would get us our next meeting, Dana will set up that Doodle Poll for our recurring meeting

-We will be marking times when we **are unavailable** on Dana's Poll

-We will be marking times when we **are available** on Patrick Poll

September 12, 2019

[Build Annual Work Plan](#) - try and complete this today

- **Review of the Survey Results** to assign pieces of this for the work plan
 - Digital Publishing- perhaps evaluating and collecting from the CSUs that are doing this and using this support the rest of the CSUs (Alyssa, Dana-willing to help)
 - Green IR Archiving Practices- no one at the moment, confirming the findings of the survey
 - Open Access Policy- Perhaps framing as the cost of scholarship, using the lens of how much it costs to publish in non-OA journals; Faculty Rights (Carmen, Mark Bilby, Mark Stover)
 - ORCID- (Mark Bilby, Elizabeth)
 - **Build Annual Work Plan**
 - Have this completed by our next meeting
 - **Check-in on projects**
 - Mark Bilby: Updated on Green OA, working on a resolution for an Ad-Hoc committee for a system-wide policy (Faculty Affairs, State Senate)
 - Alyssa interested, Chloe has contacts
 - Patrick: Copyright First Responders, 16 registered, all going well; encourage folks to stay at the conference hotel
 - Mark Stover: Open Access Tipping Point: Public Affirmation; hopefully this will begin to take us to the next level, using the repositories, to begin negotiating hard with the publishers
 - Mark Bilby: Orbit Funders requiring NIH grants to include ORCID
 - **For the next meeting**
 - Annual Work Plan Review, have some discussion about any issues that arise
 - Another Update on Copyright First Responder Training
 - Next Meeting: **Oct 10th**
-

October 10, 2019

Annual Work Plan and assignments - Check in

Discussion - how to impact each campus with ScholComm projects

Much of what we are doing at individual campuses are the individuals doing the work. How can this committee develop the potential for a community of practice? Should we let people know when these meetings are and make them open meetings? Do we want to propose an open call for practitioners to come and participate in our meetings? -It's hard to get people involved in ScholComm work.

Dana talked about the Publishing Interest Group. People are welcome to join and talk about publishing with this IG. We could use this ScholComm committee to elevate the publishing discussion and share out the information.

Mark Stover - EAR opens up their meetings to anyone who wants to sit in and listen. Not sure if there is enough interest in ScholComm. Easy to put out the EAR call info. Not sure if we have the same channels for ScholComm.

Carmen mentioned getting contacted with questions from other campuses. Maybe we could dedicate ½ of a meeting to answering questions about ScholComm.

Heather: not sure she would come with questions if she wasn't on the committee. How do people know what they need to ask?

Elizabeth: same as Heather. Not a dedicated person doing ScholComm. New to CI, still figuring things out.

Mark Bilby: EAR met yesterday and looked at Web of Science VS SCOPUS. Reluctance to switch over. Transition will be too abrupt for faculty. Reluctance to engage with SCOPUS. Hands off approach with Elsevier because of the ongoing negotiations. Read and publish agreements did come up.

Patrick: maybe we need to have a webinar where we present on a topic, and then take questions. Like a clearinghouse for information.

CFR Update - About 70 folks registered for the two locations. Mostly CSU folks. Encourage people to sign up! We will release the hotel rooms soon.

Green OA Policy / AS-3376 update - Faculty affairs for statewide senate met and discussed the idea of working on a systemwide model OA policy. Committee is strongly in favor of this. New members of the committee had some questions. Wanted to have another pass at an information conversation with the CO's office/council. If the CO doesn't move forward, then we can still move forward.

Faculty Profiles: Katie Lage shared the revised draft charge of the interest group.

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1jS6azaxgwU1F0oelwbB0tGxY_EyII-giBZE3nw26TS0/edit?usp=sharing .

Interest Groups are:

<https://calstate.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/SCHOL/pages/79760992/Interest+Groups>

What is going on with STIM? Need to check in to make sure that we aren't spreading ourselves too thin. COLD needs to clarify the relationships here and make sure that we all know what we are supposed to be doing.

Elsevier big deal facts/events: desire to gather facts related to Elsevier negotiations? Systemwide event for this? COLD talked about having campus-wide events. COLD communications team is writing an Elsevier FAQ sheet about the negotiations. This will be released by tomorrow morning, hopefully.
Each campus is encouraged to have an event around this. If your campus is NOT having a forum, please talk to your dean.

Next Month's Agenda

- Doing an open access informational website/planning that work (Carmen)
 - Elsevier InfoGraphics
 - Publishing group update (Dana)
 - STIM report (Heather)
 - Interest group integrations with ScholComm committee (Patrick)
 - Promotions calendar for ScholComm/Sharing pamphlets/posters/etc.
-

November 14, 2019

- Doing an open access informational website/planning that works (Carmen)
 - Looking for a group of folks to partner on creating an Open Access version of the Copyright sites: <https://microsites.csusm.edu/copyright/>
 - Task force to include: Elizabeth Blackwood, Alyssa Loera, Dana (mid-January)
- Elsevier InfoGraphics (Mark B.)
 - Megan Wagner at CSUF has volunteered for creating some infographics using the COLD Elsevier FAQ. Will also use some additional data points. Should be available early December. (YAY!)

- Publishing group update (Dana)
 - Making headway! Self-selected into interest areas. Identified 4 sub-groups to work on this year:
 - Journal MOUs
 - So far, the MOU group has met and is working on boilerplates for different scenarios for publications.
 - Faculty pubs, student pubs, external orgs.
 - OJS documentation - Worked with Kevin and Melissa Seeyle to get started on this.
 - Alternative publishing platforms. Long-form scholarly publishing platforms (Scalar, Vega, etc.) for multimedia and other scholarship; SLO has hired someone to make online exhibits for the library (this platform would support this type of work).
 - CSU-wide McNairs Journal (?) - Working with Alyssa on this and will probably get moving on this early in 2020
 - Dana will add the deliverable items into the workplan.
- STIM report (Heather)
 - Taking over as the ULMS systems group. LibIT is really only a listserv. Main deliverable - library technology survey. Should be done by next month. Looking to maybe move toward consortial purchasing: study room software, etc.
 - Looking into becoming members of the carpentries organization.
 - Working plan - OA survey? We have a survey that Andrew Weiss worked on. When presented to COLD, there was a discussion about keeping this up-to-date on a regular basis. (Annual?)
 - Do others want to do a survey? If we could develop one ScholComm survey, that might be the best idea. We had questions on that prior survey about if campuses were interested in doing things...Not just are you doing it?
 - Carmen Mitchell thinks we should do an annual CSU-wide ScholComm survey. Heather and Dana support!

- Good for us to have the data as to how the CSu is developing with regard to publishing, scholcomm, etc.
 - Next meeting, look at survey questions to see what we would change/add/delete.
 - Interest group integrations with ScholComm committee (Patrick)
 - How do we get formal recognition of the work that the IGs are doing and engage them with this committee?
 - Publishing group - involved with us. Are there others that we need to include in future agendas?
 - Faculty Profiles IG
 - Trusted Repositories IG (Andrew Weiss is lead - on sabbatical)
 - Patrick: we have different interest groups, what is the reporting back function? All IGs need to have a reporting line.
 - Do we need a Copyright IG?
 - Copyright is key to ScholComm. We should continue to have an IG.
 - Is the copyright first responders a big enough resource? It is kind of its own thing. There is worth in having our own discussions.
 - Is CFP mission documented anywhere in our shared google drive? It's available on the CFR site. Kyle said he was setting up a google group for us. His approach is to do quarterly updates on the BIG stuff happening with ©
 - The CFR feedback: good, but the second day was info overload. Wanted more media training. (Particularly streaming media.)
 - Kyle was going to send slides as well.
 - Continuing education is still a bit of a question. CFR trainings have happened...We were the first CA cohort, and he wants to have more CA trainings. Maybe we need to bring Eric Harbeson <https://www.colorado.edu/libraries/eric-harbeson> from CO or Carla Myers from Miami: <https://www.lib.miamioh.edu/blog/staff-spotlight-carla-myers>
 - Copyright Information Pages - annual update needed (Rita)
 - In the context of post-CFR training, perhaps the pages need to be updated with the content from the CFR? Mark Stover will ask the © team at Northridge.
 - Not a major update, incremental changes and smaller updates.
 - CFR feedback will be valuable for moving forward.
 - Who will do the wordpress work? Rita to coordinate with Patrick and Mark about connecting folks to work on this.
- New ScholComm member onboarding information (Elizabeth)
 - Focusing on three areas. She will add all this info to our shared drive:
 - Where all the survey data is? What surveys have been done? Create a repository for the surveys and data. Please send any surveys that you have over to Elizabeth.

- Basic org chart for the committees work together. Differences between task force, interest group, committee.
 - Annotated work plan so that it is more understandable for someone that is new.
 - Summarize and define the different areas that this committee works on.
 - Q: Where is our repository of surveys and all the work that has been done? We have the google drive, but do we have the option of uploading things to Confluence?
 - We can upload things to Confluence. But there is an issue with us running into a limited number of licenses. COLD is going to look at expanding our licenses so that more people can use Confluence. Need to bring this up at COLD. More people need editing rights. Anyone in the CSU can view documents in Confluence.
 - Maybe use Confluence for final documents, but not working documents.
 - Patrick and Mark taking it back to COLD to see about increasing the number of licenses.
 - <http://opendata.calstate.edu/dataset> update (who?)
 - Dave Walker has contact and additional background on it, can follow up
 - Contains hr, people soft data, seems to be open data pilot on open data platform with CO groups (<https://ckan.org/>)
 - Promotions calendar for ScholComm/Sharing pamphlets/posters/etc.
 - [MIT Framework for Negotiating with Publishers](http://news.mit.edu/2019/mit-announces-framework-guide-negotiations-publisher-s-1023) (please review before meeting)
 - <http://news.mit.edu/2019/mit-announces-framework-guide-negotiations-publisher-s-1023>
 - <https://libraries.mit.edu/scholarly/publishing/framework/>
 - question about asking publishers to directly deposit into repositories
 - Amy had asked that we discuss this in ScholComm and put on agenda for COLD
 - This doc feels aspirational at present, we need to fully understand the framework and determine if we fully support them
 - PN and MS will bring MIT framework as an informational item at Dec COLD meeting and ScholComm will continue to discuss to create a recommendation for COLD
 - ASCSU Green OA Resolution Update (Mark B.)
 - ASCSU FA met yesterday. Working Group is being formed, with ASCSU Chair, AVC of Research, OGC member, COLD rep, two ASCSU FA members, and two Librarians.
 - CSU Shared Archive-It Subscription (Mark B.)
 - Informational email sent to committee.
 - COLD Exec may be considering this soon, but it might be something on which the ScholComm committee might consult and advise in future meetings.
-

December 12, 2019

- What free/OA collections should we add to our CSU E-collections that aren't currently available in our catalog? Internet Archive OA offerings, OpenLibrary, Knowledge Unlatched, others? Should we develop a list for EAR to evaluate? Should we propose a preliminary evaluation protocol? (Patrick)
 - Doing a review of EAR--would this be good to include as part of this review or for new revamped EAR?
 - Mark S: it is appropriate for SCC to do an analysis and make some recommendations
 - PN could write to chair of EAR and say that we are interested in OA collections and we'll start a prelim sketching of collections, recognizing that this is really EAR's responsibility
 - There are many OA collections available that each library can turn on or off, PN would like for centralized EAR determination of which collections to turn on
 - Some collections have looser classification (Open Library), we could have meaningful convo with IA to help them to make something that is more academically viable
 - [NOTE: refrain from using SWAT to designate teams]
 - Heather is looking for someone to help her finalize the questions.
- MIT Framework - discussion to determine if a recommendation to COLD to endorse is in order <https://libraries.mit.edu/scholarly/publishing/framework/> (Carmen)
 - Predicated on us doing a lot more with OA than we are prepared to do at the moment, may be premature (PN)
 - MIT framework is aspirational and to endorse wouldn't necessarily mean that we are going to base our negotiations on it (MS) We do need to do more work as campuses on building support for OA and educating our faculty
 - Full COLD is going to wait for a rec from SCC
 - PN: let's let it rest until Jan and then pick it up then and write a proposal for COLD mtg in March-TABLED
- Update on ASCSU FA ORCID Resolution (Mark B)
 - First reading at statewide senate tomorrow 12/13
 - Endorse that ORCID is a standard and something that CO should support financially
 - Mark will report back in Jan
 - Mark will also report back on task force being formed by statewide on OA policy
- Recommendation for Shared CSU Archive-It Subscription (Mark B)
 - Easy to make the argument based on cost (PN), not on last COLD agenda because it was too packed
 - Has anyone been contacted at campuses that don't currently subscribe to determine whether there is interest and staff to use it
 - Mark envisioned hubs where expertise exists to help campuses that are under-resourced--makes sense financially

- Can individuate by campus even with consorital membership
- Could the campus SCAs be a good place to go for support--Chloe will send out to the CSU Archives listserv in the later half of Jan
- [Statement on CSU Chancellor Search \(Mark B\)](#)
 - Might be a good time for SCC to express our priorities about OA advocacy at top level of leadership, make sure they are aware of Elsevier, etc., OA publishing
 - Do we want to create a statement and distribute it to the cmte?
 - Yes if we could get endorsement of COLD and work with COLD on it--if we could get it together and to COLD for Jan meeting
 - Mark B, Katie to draft
- Consideration of Open Library Partnership Pilot (Mark B)
 - OL isn't an accessible collection for academic usage, already endorsing CDL as a model for lending and, given our common discover platform across CSU, we could have their collection appear in our system, they want to build partnerships and integrations. Part of the challenge is that they tend to work best with dark archives and off-site collections to avoid legal issues.
 - Lower tier goal of just representing the collections that are already out there on OL, not even offering up our own, just represent OL records within Primo
 - But OL needs to provide better metadata for its books
 - Put together a proposal as system and bring it to IA to improve metadata and then build a selective pipe, no archiving, no digitizing, but adding the books we found to be useful
 - This may be EARs terrain--maybe a proposal to work with them, get it started and then pass it off to EAR? (PN)
 - Could this be something for UMLS discovery team? Most appropriate? (MS) However, part of this is ADDING to collections, and that's why PN is suggesting EAR is the decision maker. UMLS team could get involved in the metadata piece in order to help lay the foundation for EAR. ULMS would also be important for the technical issues.
 - Kevin can raise with DW and Brandon and get their perspectives: also ask whether ULMSDT can begin analysis of metadata and what it would take, but eventually it would need to go to EAR to move forward
 - Mark B can raise at EAR meeting later today
- Transformative Agreements Expert to EAR (Mark B)
 - Should EAR have a designated expert? UCs are hiring two people who focus on this. Perhaps COLD could consider inviting an additional EAR position or have EAR train up on transformative agreements
 - MS: good idea; would this person regularly attend SCC meetings as well? Sure.
 - Could be a good training at Palooza as well
 - Would need to go through COLD Exec; PN will bring it up at the next mtg
- OA training at Palooza
 - PN proposal: include OA training at the next Palooza to raise awareness and educate

- We'll keep it on the agenda until we have a host
 - Per person registration fee? Dean's won't have excuse that it is too expensive
 - Members of SCC can check with deans if they want to host on their campus
 - Cancel January meeting? (Patrick) No.
-

January 9, 2020

- Annual ScholComm survey of CSU --
 - Review last year's survey
 - Review Heather's survey
 - Digital Repository survey is different. Want to create a roster so that we can share materials.
 - Patrick: other surveys are asking the Dean for "who is the person who answers this?" We should consider this approach. We could just contact COLD to ask for the proper person.
 - Dana: some campuses might have multiple people that could answer the survey.
 - Mark S: If there happens to be more than one person, will that be problematic?
 - Heather: No, it might be illuminating.
 - Carmen: if the survey can handle more than 1 response per campus, we could send it to the Deans and they can forward as needed.
 - Mark B: This could help us to create an "experts database" or list of practitioners.
 - Patrick: More of a census or directory. Let's get some names! :-)
 - Elizabeth: still feeling a bit new and not sure that she has a lot to offer re: questions.
 - Rita: still playing catch-up. How much have things changed in 12 months?
 - Patrick: identifying who does what and where could be so helpful. Andrew has the previous survey. He's back from sabbatical, but is on vacation. Patrick will email Andrew, introduce Heather and ask him for access.
 - Formal roles, informal roles, activities, expertise
 - Volunteers to work on survey: Heather, Carmen, Mark B.
- Open Access Collections (Internet Archive, esp) in ULMS (revisiting contacting EAR)
 - From December notes "PN could write to chair of EAR and say that we are interested in OA collections and we'll start a prelim sketching of collections, recognizing that this is really EAR's responsibility"
 - Patrick talked to the chair of EAR. They are having a similar conversation, and will get back to Patrick. Let's see if we can get this on an upcoming agenda.
 - Potentially related to the Open Library Partnership Pilot.
 - Who wants to work on a list of OA collections?
 - Mark Bilby (Also [plan to transform EAR](#))
 - Patrick Newell
- MIT Framework
 - From December notes "PN: let's let it rest until Jan and then pick it up then and write a proposal for COLD mtg in March-TABLED"
 - COLD: (from Mark S): COLD was happy that we are going to work on a recommendation.
 - Discussion of pros and cons within the group. Some feel this framework is aspirational, some have concerns about things being unenforceable.

- Carmen and Mark B to help write a rough first draft on this. (Looking to be a part of “MIT intends to rely on this Framework as a guide for our relationships with publishers regardless of the actions of any of our peer institutions or other organizations. We are, however, delighted that the following institutions have decided that that these principles will advance open scholarship and the public good, and have therefore decided to [endorse this Framework](#).”)
- Update on ASCSU FA ORCID Resolution (Mark B)
 - Still in process. Second committee reading on Jan 22, first plenary reading on Jan 23-24, and possible plenary vote at March meeting. Cautiously optimistic.
- Recommendation for Shared CSU Archive-It Subscription (Mark B)
 - From December notes “Could the campus SCAs be a good place to go for support--Chloe will send out to the CSU Archives listserv in the later half of Jan”
 - Tabled for now: did not discuss it at the most recent COLD meeting.
 - Mark B has a draft proposal, this committee was going to check with other CSUs [via survey](#).
 - Timeline - sending the survey out in a week or two. (Reminder that EO1031 calls for us to archive web history.)
 - Potential hub and spoke model for web archiving. If we had 4-5 hubs, then we could possibly cover all the CSUs.
 - Dana: the archivists may not be interested in Archive-It, but the ScholComm folks might. The survey might need to get wider involvement.
 - Carmen: send the survey to the deans and ask them to forward it along to ALL in their library that might be interested?
 - Carmen will help Mark B. with the survey.
- [Statement on CSU Chancellor Search \(Mark B\)](#)
 - From December notes “Mark B, Katie to draft”
 - Carmen: possibly add in language pertaining to the mission/values of the CSU. Social justice related, maybe?
 - Carmen: add in Open Education Resources/ALS as an additional point?
 - Carmen will help with language, if needed.
- OA training at Palooza
 - From December notes “We’ll keep it on the agenda until we have a host
 - Per person registration fee? Dean’s won’t have excuse that it is too expensive
 - Members of SCC can check with deans if they want to host on their campus”
 - Move to agenda for next COLD exec. meeting for more discussion.
 - Are we going to bring someone in, or just spend time focusing on it?
 - The latter.
- Announcements
 - Knowledge Unlatched/Open Library update from Mark B. - CSUF, Internet Archive, and Knowledge Unlatched are working on a pilot to flip some books assigned in CSU courses to OA books via crowdfunding solution.

- OA Website: no volunteers have come forward at this time. Tabled for the immediate future. Maybe to work on bad draft at the next -palooza.

Following topics: roll over to next meeting.

- Consideration of Open Library Partnership Pilot (Mark B)
 - From December notes “Kevin can raise with DW and Brandon and get their perspectives: also ask whether ULMSDT can begin analysis of metadata and what it would take, but eventually it would need to go to EAR to move forward
 - Mark B can raise at EAR meeting later today “
- Transformative Agreements Expert to EAR (Mark B)
 - Would need to go through COLD Exec; PN will bring it up at the next mtg

February 13, 2020

- Annual ScholComm survey of CSU
 - Review Heather’s survey
 - The Committee members reviewed and updated the survey; Mark Bilby will review it with Heather; Heather will work with Mark and Carmen to develop materials to promote the survey. Patrick will share the survey with CSU libraries.
- EAR Reporting (memo to group working to recombobulate EAR?)
 - Report on Open Access Collections (Internet Archive, esp) in ULMS (Newell)
 - From December notes “PN could write to chair of EAR and say that we are interested in OA collections and we’ll start a prelim sketching of collections, recognizing that this is really EAR’s responsibility”
 - Transformative Agreements Expert to EAR (Mark B)
 - Would need to go through COLD Exec; PN will bring it up at the next mtg
 - The EAR reconfiguration group has taken our input into consideration and will include it in the work they are doing.
- OA training at Palooza
 - From December notes “We’ll keep it on the agenda until we have a host
 - Per person registration fee? Dean’s won’t have excuse that it is too expensive
 - Members of SCC can check with deans if they want to host on their campus”
 - With the changes in the CO positions and a number of transitions into the new Hyrax system, we suggest not having Palooza this year.
- Update on Shared CSU Archive-It Subscription proposal (Mark B)
 - [CSU Coordinated Archive-It Survey](#) sent by Newell to COLD
 - Will report results and develop preliminary proposal by next meeting
 - Survey has been sent to the deans; they have until Feb 28 to complete the survey.
- Update on Copyright site (Rita)

- No info on this at this point; will be on the next agenda.
- Update on OJS MOU templates (Dana)
 - Dana shared that Mark, Melissa and she have completed templates for society publications, student journal publications, and faculty journal publications; these will be made available along with draft author agreements on an OJS Document web site.
- Chancellor's Search statement (Mark B)
 - COLD Exec opted not to vote on this
 - Can/shall the ScholComm committee vote?
 - ScholComm will not be making a statement for the Chancellor's search.
- DataCite subscription proposal (Mark B)
 - Support from Publishing IG (DOI minting for all OJS journals)
 - DRC is considering as well
 - Mark has been asking about interest for this. The publishing group has interest in this; many campuses would be interested in creating DOIs (esp. for OJS); the Repository Committee is discussing this for Scholarworks. Heather, Carmen, and Mark will start a draft of the proposal to COLD and will investigate partner Committees and interest groups that can endorse the proposal.
- MIT Framework (Mark B)
 - Feedback from COLD Exec
 - Next steps?
 - COLD will not endorse but will allow campuses to do so.
- ScholComm Confluence Page updated (Mark B)
 - Page updated with current content and links to past content
 - Will be moving Publishing IG pages under ScholComm pages
 - Feedback/suggestions welcomed!
 - Mark has updated the Confluence page for ScholComm. Thank you, Mark!
- Internet Archive (Open Library) and Knowledge Unlatched Partnership (Mark B)
 - ScholComm endorses and encourages these types of new ways of promoting OA content that will benefit our students.

April 9, 2020

- Check in (how we're doing with our diaspora)
 - Silly hats and keeping our spirits up! Hang in there, all.
- Update on Copyright pages at copyright.calstate.edu
 - Have not heard anything more from Leslie Kennedy at the CO about this.
 - Huge thanks to Rita for the speedy updates and Ian Chan from San Marcos for the WP work.
 - Currently up at the CO, but it is suppressed at this point. Just waiting for the final OK.
 - Leslie came to us asking for this to go up. (LOL from the folks that worked on this previously.)
 - Faculty teaching online really need this kind of guidance right now.

- Patrick: expecting that we might get a request from the CO to “work” with them on this. He will update us when there is news.
-
- National Emergency Library: From the Internet Archive.
 - <https://archive.org/details/nationalemergencylibrary>
 - Carmen: Some of my author friends are upset. Torn about this.
 - Mark B: There is the way to do controlled digital lending. If they had kept with CDL and did controlled digital lending, they might have been in a more defensible position. It would have been harder to scale up quickly this way, but it could have been done.
 - Did anyone attend the copytalk about this? Heather did! Talked about the law and that if there is a disaster that you can digitize anything. Then if you get a takedown notice, then you can deal with that.
 - Topic: April CopyTalk COVID-19
 - Start Time : Apr 2, 2020 02:00 PM
 - Meeting Recording:
 - https://ala-events.zoom.us/rec/share/-ZRqDbWz8UZJHqPx2mDOYbQOPJj4T6a81iQW_qUOzx0mpApFc9iqUAaA91n3l0wy
 - Trying to advance the conversation by doing things that are out there.
 - They have riled up librarians, publishers, and have breached the trust of others.
 - The authors that are OK with this, their books will get more use.
 - Will this become a legal battle? Wholesale violation of copyright because of a national emergency.
 - Things are not normal. We live in the upside down now.
 - Potential “take down” date of June 30th for the NEL.
- How to prioritize work for the remainder of the year?
 - What should we continue working on?
 - What should be put on hold until the Fall?

Mark Bilby:

ASCSU OA Model Policy Update - mtg tomorrow

ASCSU ORCID resolution delayed

- featured in ORCID community call

- ORCID integrations: Google Scholar; Shareyourpaper.com

Archive-It and DataCite proposals; **back burner for a bit**; volunteer co-authors?

Elsevier deal - formal announcements and nuances?

Google Books offer to evaluate complete CSU system print holdings for digitization in

Google Books: to COLD exec right now.

EAR cmtc Unpaywall Journals demo

Elizabeth Blackwood:

Documenting this committee, helping with onboarding for new committee members.
Will send out emails to the members asking for summaries of what we are working on.

Kevin Cloud:

Scholarworks migrations are moving forward.
May have some opportunities to work on digital publishing.

Heather Cribbs:

STIM: talked about the Archive-It and DataCite proposals.
Proposal for carpentries workshops. Can be done virtually, good professional development opportunity.
Survey: let's wait on this for now.

Carmen Mitchell:

Open Access information pages work will roll into next year's committee work; Carmen is happy to continue to be involved in the development of these pages.
Digital Repositories update: Working on possibly expanding the item types in ScholarWorks.

Dana Ospina:

Working with Kyle (Humboldt) and Alyssa (Pomona). Thinking about the McNair Scholars Journal.

Chloe Pascual:

Put in for a ScholComm Librarian position. On hold for now.
Did a workshop on ORCID and it went well!
Lively discussions about copyright on her campus. Some faculty on her campus are wanting more open materials.

Rita Premo:

Updated the copyright website. If it does not go online at the systemwide level, how do we push out the updates to all the campuses that are currently hosting their local version?
Ask Ian Chan from CSUSM to send out the files to folks?
We can send it out on the copyright listserv.
Willing to help with the Open Access webpage/toolkit. (Thank you!)

We should be prepared for the eventuality that any of our projects that require money will be paused.

May 14, 2020

Recorder: Rita

Announcements:

MB: ORCID [resolution AS-3412-20/FA](#) passed in statewide senate last week, without dissent. Not policy, but should be helpful to raise awareness in the system, among software vendors, etc.

CM: Digital repository committee recruiting new members; dropped link in chat. Nominations are now being accepted for the [Digital Repositories Committee](#).

The [nomination form](#) is available here.

Please submit your nomination by **Monday, May 25**.

Staff can nominate themselves after seeking approval from their dean.

Members serve a two year term. This next term will begin July 1, 2020, and run through June 30, 2022. You will also need to select which of the two working groups you would like to serve on, Metadata or Digital Archives, or if you would like to serve as one of the three at-large members on the steering committee.

MB: East Bay passed HOAP Type 1 Green Article OA policy. Went to president, not sure if decision has been made. One had one negative vote and a couple abstentions. Is the first Type 1 policy passed by any CSU academic senate.

MS: Copyright document sent from the Chancellor's Office. Not sure exactly who it was sent to: probably the library deans, possibly academic technology units. Was kind of problematic. Amy K at SacState responded. CO's copyright pages were not really great in terms of office. CO ignoring what the library has done in terms of copyright pages, or putting it off.

What's happened on campuses relating to the pandemic?

MB: possibly joining with East Bay on OA policy, but decided to wait until fall because of COVID.

CP: position for scholcomm librarian, will have to sit on new positions for the meantime.

MS: delays and recruitments for librarians broadly is a blow

EB: position shifting from digital archivist to scholarly communications; shift to online learning has been a benefit in terms of learning at Channel Islands

AL: a lot of money going toward licenses for ebook reserves rather than redesign of curriculum; hired a data management librarian (laying groundwork for digital privacy stuff)

MS: Archive-It

CM: talked about it on campuses, but with 10% budget cuts it's probably not going to happen

CARES funding going to libraries for digital materials: probably not, campuses are focusing on students

DO: Will possibly be a year before DH can start requesting funding again

MB: needs to put together document on sharing Archive-It, can send requests for things we would like to be archived, send to MB at Fullerton

MS: moving forward with documentary project on living through pandemic

CM: San Marcos is (Together Apart), as is MS's

AL: a couple campuses are, also opening up to the greater LA community

<https://together-apart.csusm.edu/>

<https://library.csun.edu/SCA/covid19-project>

https://news.csudh.edu/covid19-archive/?utm_source=rss&utm_medium=rss&utm_campaign=covid19-archive

How will budget cuts affect campuses?

CM: projects have been no additional money except staff time, so furloughs may affect work completed

MS: feels similar to 2008-10, will take a much bigger hit this time around. Will probably keep on doing what we can with fewer resources. Cancelled recruitments.

Each campus will have their own take: SDSU to have 400 on-campus classes. Other campuses, just a handful: largely labs, certain nursing classes.

MB: been an opportunity to advocate for open access, public science, etc. How to keep that going will be interesting.

Google Digitization

MS: COLD had wanted to put the project off for a while; however, considering the research libraries that have done digitization into Hathi Trust and such, they may be a lot better off than those who didn't do digitization. UCLA: access to 3 million books in HT. Workflow is a big question, but appreciating now that there is access to these materials. Discussion ensues.

Digitization on campus

Most are taking the approach that as long as campus closed, folks aren't going into the building on a regular basis. Folks took work with them, but as time period extends, reassessing who can go in to pick up what we need to work from home. Question of archives facing leaks and other potential damage.

Big question mark on fall semester: Will library be open, what restrictions will there be? MS suspects that will have some sort of conflict between what libraries want to do and what campus administrations want to do. Most of us really had to fight to get libraries closed when this all began.

MB: talk of use of lockers as a means to get materials to people, big plastic barriers to go up at service points, book sanitizing machines.

DO: Dean having meetings with each department, some have plans to be online through December. Have created detailed plans on what is safe

AL: Similar, plan to largely be closed through the fall. Closure this spring showed that students would ignore social distancing. Should have a new dean starting in the fall.

RP and EB: largely waiting for the COLD reopening documentation before issuing full recommendations, but have been creating plans along the way.

Administrations may push us to stay open. Mitigation efforts will be hugely expensive, the science is still being identified, parts of buildings can be closed.

ScholComm survey: HC has it ready to go. Will send to MS and determine whether to send it and when.

CM: Annual ScholarWorks meeting?

Proposal from Carmen Mitchell that we not have a meeting this year: Virtual or otherwise.

Would like to make planning this meeting a part of the CSU Digital Repository Committee Chair's responsibilities.

- The Planning committee could be made up of DigRepo Chair, ScholComm Chair, Metadata WG Chair, DigArchives WG Chair, and the Local Planning Committee Chair. (Assuming that the campus that plans it would have a Local Planning Committee.)
- CM has an idea to formalize and document the planning process, including creating a call for proposals.
- Group agrees with the idea of formalizing a structure and putting off the meeting this summer.

June 11, 2020

Recorder: Alyssa L.

- ScholComm OA Survey 2020 - Heather
 - Quick update and review current responses
 - Survey closes on Friday, what are our next steps?
 - Heather:
 - Still has to extract final data since it closes Friday (approximately 68 responses, some test answers, 20/23 campuses so far)
 - Some highlights: Heather will be cleaning up the look of the data
 - Where do our campuses have a lot of expertise?
 - What do we want to develop?
 - Willing to share communications, resources?
 - Open ended, what else do you want to tell us?
 - Going to meet with Mark & Carmen to analyze and decide what to highlight/bring up at OA week

- Patrick: Maybe we can use this to create a directory of resources, or as a means of cycling people onto committees, would like to use the survey to develop strategic priorities at the COLD level (particularly open access); Also, maybe the three datasets for the expertise and need help questions can be combined for an effective visualization
- Heather: action items are clear from some answers, but perhaps the action item for the question about “where campuses need the most help” is unclear; Patrick: sharing the three pieces of data together will tell a more compelling story and will influence the adopted priorities at the COLD level
- Heather: going to talk to Mark and Carmen about breaking the data down into themes.
- Heather will email the missing campus names to us (Stanislaus, LA, SLO)
- Mark: Next COLD meeting in August (taking July off)
- Multiple people noted that many campuses only have one or a few people doing the breadth of this work
- Patrick: these aren’t strategic priorities *yet* at the COLD level
- Mark: the committee formation was meant to start that conversation, but COLD in general hasn’t necessarily taken all this on, holistically
- Patrick: the survey and the directory are steps in support of this work; looking to formalize this work moving forward
- Mark: also agreed that formalizing the group and the work should be a goal.
- Rita: Maybe a question next year about how many FTEs spread across how many actual humans...so if your scholcomm librarian can only spend 35% of time on actual SC issues, that would be good to note
- ASCSU ORCID resolution passed (AS-3412)
 - Mark B.: Got passed: <https://www2.calstate.edu/csuo-system/faculty-staff/academic-senate/resolutions/2019-2020/3412.pdf>
 - Mark B.: will see if CO is inclined to support (as a next step);
 - Patrick: getting COLD buy-in and formalizing the group might help support ORCID stuff at the CO level;
 - Mark: room for strategic development, sharing, etc.; having formalized backing would be good; Makes most sense to have a consortial ORCID membership to manage API keys, one membership will do everything we need;
 - Patrick: but getting our folks organized is the hard part; getting library personnel on board with what is needed to build on shared ed resources we want to build as a CSU, to eventually get our faculty into ORCID, is the big/hard step

- ASCSU OA Model Policy ad hoc cmte update
 - Mark B.: Committee will continue to meet; Mark B. has stepped down, not sure who will be stepping in; CSUEB President didn't end up signing OS policy (yet, potentially in the Fall); Concern about faculty publications and ownership; Not sure how to approach since this is not necessarily under the purview of COLD and more about local senates;
 - Patrick: first priority is to educate their Provost
- Elsevier Author APC workflow
 - Mark B.: conversations going with how to get faculty to use the APCs, how authors submit (once articles are accepted); was able to simplify/work through technical legal jargon; Developing a rapid response team, a few people to help push authors through the process (Elsevier does not want to do that work, condition of the APC agreement); Two year agreement; Elsevier is making everything retroactive, authors who have already submitted as of Jan 2020 can go back and make their content OA; Also got stats from Elsevier (approx 450 articles a year), lots of potential value in the transformative agreement.
- End of Year reports/next steps
 - **Patrick: would like project leads to drop a paragraph into the minutes about work done in the past year to help with the transition into the next year.**
 - Patrick: this work is very timely, and will grow if positioned strategically by COLD; need to develop programs to bring our campuses more uniformly on board;
 - Thanks from all to Patrick for his leadership, passion, and hard work!
 - Elizabeth: Placed a [document for new member onboarding](#) in the Shared Google Drive. It combines information that was split between the google drive, the COLD Confluence site, ScholCom Confluence page, and the minutes into one document. It also includes definitions of the various acronyms that we often use. It may seem rudimentary, but these are just a few things that would be helpful for someone who is new to COLD committee work, in general.