

Scholarly Communication Meeting

June 4, 2019 - Zoom Conference

Attending: Adriana Popescu, Patrick Newell, Carmen Mitchell, Kevin Cloud, Andrew Weiss, Kyle Morgan, and Keven Jeffery

Notes:

- I. 2019/2020 Committee
 - A. Patrick Newell will become chair
 - B. There are still opportunities to nominate peers to this committee
- II. OA publishing and ORCID survey Review
 - A. Kyle had four colleagues test the survey that is to be distributed system-wide.
 - B. Kyle will review the problem question with test respondents. General satisfaction with the survey makeup.
 - C. Patrick would like to get the survey sent out as quickly as possible in order to report results at the LibIT meeting on August 6 & 7. June 10 will be the target for distributing survey with it closing on July 19 to give two weeks for rough analysis.
 - D. One response per campus will be required.
 - E. Regular reminders to the COLD listserv will be required.
- III. Annual Work Plan Review
 - A. <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1cLvGLbJ3PdU998TIOMcFQWONKshT5paxt2PKkqzjAU/edit>
 - B. Progress
 1. Create a framework to support user education and library staff training around copyright and intellectual property issues
 - a) A website was created with contacts for each campus, waiting for CO review.
 - b) Copyright First Responders curriculum has been created, potentially to be held in the Fall of 2019. Waiting for instructor to confirm.
 2. Create a framework to support Open Access user and workforce education
 - a) A multi-year initiative that will be continued by this committee.
 - b) An OA and ORCID survey has been created for June distribution as a fact-finding measure. The new committee will have a base to continue on this initiative.
 - c) There is momentum with a state-wide OA policy being implemented.
 3. Explore the potential of digital publishing at CSU libraries
 - a) A multi-year initiative that will be continued by this committee.
 - b) This initiative will be informed by the OA and ORCID survey.
- IV. LibIT Meeting
 - A. Topics have been received and the program is being developed.
 - B. A travel document has been drafted and will be distributed.
 - C. Evening social activities are being planned.
 - D. A live Zoom will be available for remote attendees.
 - E. CO will give an update on the progress of the IR.

May 8, 2009 - Zoom conference

Attending: Adriana Popescu, Patrick Newell, Carmen Mitchell, Kevin Cloud, Andrew Weiss, Claudia Tristan, Chloe Pascual, Colleen Harris, and Keven Jeffery

NOTES:

I. COLD Update

- A. Significant discussion surrounding hiring support for programming. A decision was made to make funds available for a 1-year contractor to work on ScholarWorks. There will be support to ensure that each campus can migrate to ScholarWorks.
- B. Significant discussion regarding support for open access. A resolution was made and distributed
http://libraries.calstate.edu/csu-supports-uc-open-access/?fbclid=IwAR2fTWEiwXSeYEkc1jlp_FpH3h1Oq1gg7yeB331xUZ05wmY_3WvQTb7Gi70
 - 1. Transformative agreements were discussed (Publish and Read, Read and Publish), especially the initiatives put forward by the University of California. The UC would like CSU support/partnership to engage with publishers. COLD voted to invite UC and California Digital Library representatives to discuss these initiatives further. Patrick is optimistic that by next year an agreement can be made.
 - a) Carmen suggested that CSU library faculty be involved in the discussion. Patrick indicated faculty would be invited at the appropriate point in the discussion.
- C. There was a draft Open Access resolution put forward to the CSU senate. Patrick states that it has been approved and will move forward. It is moving forward to the funding stage. It is important that support is continued and promoted at individual campuses to keep pressure on the CO to move forward. No response has been yet received from the CSU Vice-Chancellor.

II. Open-Access Publishing and ORCID Survey

- A. Kyle is running a reliability test with three colleagues at Humboldt. We will wait until the testing is complete before providing additional suggestions. It will be looked at by this committee one more time before distribution.
- B. Target is to distribute the survey before the end of May and the end of the semester at most campuses.

III. Vacancies

- A. Group membership is staggered two-year terms, there are currently five potential vacancies. Members can be appointed for up to three terms. Members should let Adriana and Patrick know if they would like to continue. COLD has approved a liaison to the EAR committee. Patrick will put out a call across all campuses. Keven will ask STIM to appoint/reappoint their representative. Patrick will put out a call to EAR for a representative.

- IV. LibIT/STIM/ScholComm/ScholarWorks Meeting August 6-7 (Andrew). A ULMS training session may be appended on August 8. David Walker will speak and there is an open call for participation, interested participants should contact Andrew. Carmen asked about virtual participation at the conference. Andrew is working with his campus IT to have 3 or 4 rooms available to a streaming audience, the main room will definitely be available. Patrick suggested an annual meeting held on the same date may be a future possibility.
- V. There will be a meeting in June with updates on the survey, but it may be rescheduled from the 12th due to vacations.
- VI. Patrick will become chair with the new fiscal year in July

April 10, 2019 - Zoom conference

Attending: Adriana Popescu (Chair); Kevin Cloud; Chloe Pascual; Kyle Morgan; Tanya Hollis; Patrick Newell; Andrew Weiss

NOTES:

- I. Update on SW implementation team
 - A. Brief update: met twice so far; meeting again this afternoon
 - 1. Implementation team reviewed metadata schema from meta IG
 - 2. Reviewing Governance models
 - 3. Migration & implementation plan - Work being done by Kevin Cloud
 - a) Patrick: Calendar for migration: a huge help & help w/ buy-in
 - b) Encouraging to see it moving forward
 - 4. Call for campuses to assign ScholarWorks project managers
- II. ScholComm Survey:
 - A. Incorporating all the suggested changes
 - B. Should be helpful to assess efforts in the next year
 - C. Suggestions:
 - 1. Q11: Who is the "you" in this question?
 - a) Brings up issue: Who will be answering this survey?
 - b) Depends on who it is going out to? If libraries: restrict to librarians, etc.
 - c) Scope and logistics of survey: one response / campus
 - d) To COLD: need clear guidelines: a team can answer, but one response per library campus
 - e) Overall consensus: one / campus
 - 2. Q12: do you: does the library. Revise questions with "You"; library should be the entity.
 - 3. Q14: Overthrowing: Change the term: "overthrow";
 - 4. Q15: Change question to "How does" your campus...
 - 5. New question before Q16: What obstacles have prevented an OA policy?
 - 6. Q17: Who is responsible for promoting "content in" reword this question

7. Q25: Add ranked response for this question
 - a) One might check everything...but may not tell us everything; adds priorities to the responses
 8. New question proposal: Ask if IRs have formal relationships with their offices of research. Direct links between IR staff/library should be discussed.
- D. Timeline: hope to collect responses to guide the next year's committee...Analysis and recommendations; if administer in May and analyze in summer; prep for September for reporting to COLD...

III. Other announcements

- A. Patrick: Pre-1972 music rules updated; Patrick sent out details to csucopyright-l listserv
- B. Patrick: Copyright responders program: propose to COLD: fund to pay for Kyle Courtney and pay for food at different venues; pay to fund attendees;
- C. Andrew: LIBIT/STIM/ScholComm event; 8/6-8/7 or 7/16-7/17; other dates near these times also in play; soliciting suggestions for topics/presentations/etc. for the meeting; &c. If survey results are ready, could share these...
- D. Chloe: CSULB: ORSP: Gold OA publication fees subvention fund; \$20K allocation to help pay these fees; library still determining journals & criteria for acceptance...
- E. Adriana: several ORCID workshops at Cal Poly SLO;

March 13, 2019 - Zoom conference

Attending: Patrick Newell, Tanya Hollis, Jayati Chaudhuri, Colleen Harris, Andrew Weiss, Kyle Morgan, Kevin Cloud, Claudia Tristan, Keven Jeffery, Chloe Pascual, Adriana Popescu (Chair)

1. Copyright Website
 - a. Patrick Newell has shared the website with COLD and the new Vice-Chancellor of Research
 - i. Implementation will be on a campus by campus basis, no involvement from Chancellor's Office at this time to promote the resource.
 - ii. Reaction to the website has been positive by campuses
2. Review ScholarWorks SWAT team report and recommendations
 - a. <https://library.calstate.edu/docs/swat-report-2019.pdf>
 - b. COLD has recommended a single instance has been adopted (recommendations 1 and 3 have been adopted)
 - i. 1. Implement a single-instance institutional repository
 - ii. 3. Approve a policy regarding scope of content for the IR
 - c. Recommendation 2 (Create a more formal governance structure for ScholarWorks) was discussed regarding a new governance structure for the IR. COLD would like a structure similar to the ULMS implementation. An IR Implementation team will be formed.

- d. Waiting for David Walker to determine next steps. Per Kevin C we will likely hear from David in the next week.
 - e. Patrick - What is the Scholarly Communication role in the new IR?
 - i. Andrew - we should look to determine what makes a sustainable repository
 - ii. Jayati - a shared repository will help the smaller campuses who don't currently have an IR effort
 - iii. Claudia - further involve faculty and increase communication with other repositories
 - iv. Chloe - what are our expectations for repositories, especially in terms of staff time and the allocation of resources
 - v. Colleen - how do we support the smaller campuses?
 - vi. Patrick - This is an issue with understaffing - can maybe address things with a SAS product
 - vii. Adriana - A single instance will help us all move forward and focus our resources more effectively
 - viii. Keven - increase communication and integrate individual solutions currently in place at other campuses
 - ix. Kevin C - How will the ScholCom committee integrate with the other IR groups. Lots of other opportunities and initiatives that are part of the conversation.
 - x. Tanya - We need to organize ourselves to effectively move forward. The single solution is a good financial bet for many campuses.
 - f. Adriana requests models of IR governance be sent to her.
 - g. Kevin C notes that Recommendation 5 to Explore new revenue streams / funding opportunities may help determine the governance structure and increase the resources available.
3. Open Access Publishing Survey Draft Review
- a. Survey put together by Kyle and Andrew
 - i. https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1mruU4t_aZMhNTxTdrTBwVy7CAnAox4Y46lbaWmeYveQ/edit?usp=sharing
 - ii. Andrew notes some of the information may already exist and not need to be asked. Adriana does not see a lot of overlap with other efforts.
 - iii. Patrick notes that this committee should vet the draft for reliability and validity prior to sending. We should also consider changing questions to closed format for easier reporting of survey results.
 - iv. Andrew notes the goal of the survey was also to introduce respondents to the options if they are not already aware. The open-ended questions at the end of the survey are geared to gauging interest in services not currently offered.
 - v. Adriana asks how many campuses have an open access policy? The committee is not currently aware of any. Open Access is being discussed

by the California Legislature, but nothing is expected soon. This will have an influence on campus policies.

- b. A PDF will be sent to committee members. Comments should be submitted to Kyle and Andrew by Wednesday, March 20. A new draft will be submitted to committee members by March 27.
- c. Adriana notes this survey will be important for setting the committee agenda for the future.

February 13, 2019 - Zoom Conference

- Attending: Adriana Popescu, Patrick Newell, Andrew Weiss, Colleen Harris, Kyle Morgan, Jayati Chaudhury, Kevin Jeffrey, Kevin Cloud

1. Academic Libraries Video Trust - <https://videotrust.org/>

Service that digitizes obsolete materials, must prove ownership before you can get access to the digitized version. Something campuses would be interested in, if they still have legacy/VHS formats? Patrick will share with EAR.

2. ORCID draft survey -

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1aOfpr_5Vv3RIVbpRQj6mzcySRfOA5Bn9fDQtA6tpMGU/edit?usp=sharing; feel free to add questions or make comments on the draft

- Want to use responses to better inform us as to what to focus on in our campaign and helping colleagues on campuses to promote value of ORCID and educate their communities. (Don't want to overdo it with surveys or duplicate information collected by other committees.) On ORCID site, only Northridge is a member.
- A few questions added – interesting to get a sense of what people thought about who should be using ORCID, the scope of ORCID itself which is now focused on TT and tenured faculty, but talk of adding grad students, especially those writing theses.
- Education around the value of ORCID is still needed. Getting the message out to faculty about it is necessary. Some comes up naturally – at CSUN, Office of Research and Sponsored Projects is telling faculty they must have an ORCID so this helps to make some headway. Publications are requiring scholars to have an ORCID as well, so it's happening at grassroots but we will have to do some really directed outreach.
- ID who is working in the space currently, have them talk about what they are doing, call those best practices, then have a marketing plan for your institution that the scholcomm person in each library can have a conversation with RSP about signing up for ORCID IDs. Must make it really easy for libraries to say yes and do something instead of tasking them with coming up with their own marketing for ORCID.

- Add questions to draft
- Need volunteer who can put it into a survey- on OA topics ranging from ORCID to digital publishing to open access? One survey would be best.
 - Jayati and Kyle - exploratory to try to find out what people are doing, can possibly group folks together and develop best practices around that
- Useful to include a question of whether folks want to be a part of a larger effort
- Ask if institutions have developed OA policies and made them available so we can see where we are overall
 - Scholarworks itself as a platform would benefit greatly if there was an approach by the system moving in the direction of OA.
 - Since we don't have someone centrally championing a systemwide OA policy like UC did, if we started individually by picking up best practices and 'how to work with academic Senate,' can make it a ground up rather than top down way of getting buy-in. Identify who might be allies on campus. We have a lot of info we could share with sibling campuses, just need an organized way of going about it.
- ORCID, OA, add some more questions around OA to have one draft altogether - Andrew will work on this with Kyle.
- Draft OA survey available at https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1mruU4t_aZMhNTxTdrTBwVy7CAnAox4Y46lbaWmeYveQ/edit?ts=5c63784e
- Updates on copyright front - site is live - copyright.calstate.edu
 - Need to make additional changes. List of copyright contacts at every campus, no statement of how to submit questions or suggestions for improvement of site. Patrick will share the site
 - Copyright first responders update: spoke with Kyle Courtney who has agreed to do © first responders. He has been approached by other CA librarians about it. Patrick spoke with him last week, will start picking out locations and dates. For future copyright work, experts to Washington, Oregon, and California, so will coordinate.

3. Team updates -

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1cLvGLbJ3PdU998TIOMcFQWONKshT5paxt2PKkqzjAU/edit?usp=sharing> - there is still time to join one of the project teams. At this point, we have only a few colleagues signed up as contact points for each project (bold font) and I am sure they would appreciate having a team to work with. Please feel free to add your name to a project.

- Attending: Adriana Popescu, Kyle Morgan, Kevin Cloud, Chloe Pascual, Patrick Newell, Claudia, Tanya Hollis, Keven Jeffery
- Scholarly Communication Updates around the CSU
 - Adriana attended CNI and noted there were many presentations on SC related subjects, such as digital lending. These presentations were well attended and are available online as summaries and videos.
 - Patrick updated the committee on the Copyright Resource website and noted all campus contacts had been added. The site is now available for individual campuses to link to, perhaps instead of a locally maintained resource. Adriana asked if this project could be marked as completed. Patrick will check with COLD.
 - Adriana noted the next copyright initiative is to develop a curriculum for staff training. Kyle M. noted he will be working on a publishing initiative. Patrick noted that a CSU representative will be following up with the creators of the Copyright First Responders at ALA midwinter.
- Project Updates
 - Open Access Information website - Andrew W is working on a related initiative, Open Access Beyond Green and Gold.
 - ORCID - Patrick asked if anyone had been working on this issue. Adriana stated her library has been working on this issue and has resources to share. Andrew W. has worked on this as well. Patrick noted that we need to start the work developing a campaign, not necessarily starting the campaign. Zach presented on this issue at COLD. We need to develop information and get our researchers signed up so that this information can be used when we do subscribe as a campus. Adriana noted we should start collecting materials that have been created within the CSU. There is a CNI presentation on the library's role in increasing the university research profile using ORCID. Adriana suggested we work on a survey. Keven J will reach out to Andrew W to plan a survey for distribution on the CSU Listserv.
 - Open Access Publishing - Tanya H notes that OJS has extensive training material that can be distributed. Education can be provided to encourage adoption. Patrick N notes that Fresno has a going journal, Educational Renaissance, that may be a candidate to move to the CSU OJS. Could the CSU OJS be used for a student research journal? Adriana notes that journals like this exist at other campuses. Kevin C notes that there is a publishing group working looking at OJS and creating materials for onboarding new journals to the system. Test journals are also available to be explored by interested campuses. Kevin C will keep everyone posted on the OAP work. Patrick N suggests a simple "getting started" document on the CSU OJS website. Tanya H
 - Digital Publishing - Kyle M gave an updated on the Publishing Groups plans to do an environmental scan of the CSU and noted Humboldt has a student journal currently in BPress. He will keep the committee updated. Adriana P asked if we should limit our focus to OJS adoption, or expand beyond. Kyle will discuss with his working group but noted the committee could attack this topic very broadly,

even looking into what the new ScholarWorks could offer. Adriana asked if we wanted to know anything from a proposed publishing survey. Patrick N asked that we survey the CSU on related technologies and any topics we are interested in, such as ORCID. We should capture anything we are interested in. Tanya suggested we ask about resources available and initiatives at individual campuses. Kyle noted some of these questions may have been included in an existing ScholarWorks survey, so we may not want to duplicate. Adriana P will draft a basic survey to share with the group for discussion.

December 12, 2018

- Cold Update
 - Copyright update was discussed and is ready to go live as soon as campus contacts are determined. SDSU could supply the reference desk as a contact.
 - Comments due this week from the dean's
 - Standing Committee Annual Work Plan
 - Copyright First Responders <http://fululweb01.calstate.edu/copyright/>
 - OA committee with informational website and resource clearing house
 - ORCID - Educational/Marketing/Outreach Plan was planned
 - ScholCom wants STIM to research researcher profile systems

November 2018

- SWAT Team has divided into two subgroups
 - One looking inside CSU
 - One looking outside CSU
- This committee
 - Digital publishing
 - Open Access
- ScholarWorks Committee
 - Five sub groups, one on publishing
 - Dana
 - We can collaborate with this group
 - Kyle from ScholComm will coordinate with this group
- CO has production version of OJS available
 - Easy to set up a journal if libraries are interested
 - Role for this committee in advocating
- ORCID
 - COLD did not approve budget for CSU membership
 - What does an institutional membership do?
 - Faculty still have to sign up individually
 - What resources are required?