

STIM Meeting Notes - February 8, 2018

Attendees

- Patrick Newell, Chair (Chico)
- Carmen Mitchell (San Marcos)
- Zach Vowell (SLO)
- Katie Lage (Moss Landing)
- David Walker (Chancellor's Office)
- Kevin Cloud (Chancellor's Office)
- Jonathan Smith (Sonoma)
- Jeremy C. Shellhase (Humboldt)
- Andrew Weiss (Northridge)
- Bin Zhang (Sacramento)

Discussion Topics

1. ORCID:

- a. Here is the proposal:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1C1gdE27NX4XII_wSqFvMvoGtsTn-hkif81rXQ86hgRU/edit?usp=sharing

- b. Here is December 2015 data on how many ORCID IDs have been created with CSU email accounts:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B_VRjZVSYt_UYmMwRkpLc1EybdQ/view?usp=sharing

Proposal contains basic info about ORCID, what ORCID membership would mean for the CSU, and why libraries should be involved. Cost savings is #1 reason. \$4,000 per campus per year versus \$10,000+ for individual memberships. The consortia would take on tier 1 support for the campuses, usually takes 1 FTE per 30 organizations. May not need to commit to 1 FTE. Could possibly be absorbed by staff.

Q. How many campuses need to sign on to get this deal? Does it have to be ALL the campuses? If some campuses join in as a pilot, can other campuses join in at a later time and get the same price?

Discussion about making the proposal more of a smaller "pilot project" to get buy-in and build support. COLD has been doing some strategic planning, and are looking at what they should be funding collectively. If we identify a lead campus and then get 3-4 campuses to work together to provide the support for a smaller pilot...it could show that this can be done.

Other than the \$4,000 per campus per year, how much would it be to fund 1 FTE? It depends.

Need a bit more information and a slight revision to the proposal before it goes forward to COLD. Andrew and Katie to help with the revision. COLD is next week, then April 12th. Aiming to get the proposal to the April meeting.

Suggestion: add in a spreadsheet that details out how much the individual campuses would pay if they were to license this individually. Show exactly how much money they would save through this consortium agreement.

How would we determine who the lead campus would be? Dave: Some of these administrative pieces have been done by the Chancellor's Office. So some of those tasks could be handled by the CO. Then the other tasks could be handled by the campuses.

Andrew to talk to Mark about ORCID.

Suggestion from Jeremy: is there a listserv for all the CSU offices of research? We could ping them to see if they would be interested. Possible cost-sharing?

April COLD meeting is in San Diego. Need "real life" scenarios to share with COLD about how this will be beneficial. ORCID's membership team might even have a presentation to share with us. Update tk at the March STIM meeting.

2. LOCKSS - Patrick has documentation from the 2006 LOCKSS pilot and the 2008 pitch to COLD (that was unanimously approved but never funded) but does not have the 2015 document that included a 6/7 node backbone along the state that would preserve the data for all 23 campuses. Maybe Dave has it? {Addition: Dave found it}

3. STIM Name Change: COLD sub-committee would like STIM to change the name and charge to Scholarly Communications. Discussion ensued.

4. Review Project List:

Kevin: let's restart the ScholarWorks Advisory Group! We could include one member from each of the IGs. We could revise the charge:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ZiglliSrKqhjABzPa6f70eb8wEjrZy-_RkY9s4nnp3M/edit?usp=sharing Carmen, Jeremy to help revise the charge.

Next Meeting: Thursday, March 8, 2018 3-4 PM; Andrew to take notes at the March meeting.