

Shared Resources & Digital Content
Committee of the Whole
Agenda & Notes – Meeting 2
Monday, November 13, 2023, 10am-11am

22 in attendance.

SDLC updates

1. ECC Budget - Ann gave an update on the status of the budget for this FY.
2. ACM
 - a. Currently an opt-in. ACM plans to be OA by 12/31/2025. Pricing model is changing to read and publish. Cost will be defined by publishing outputs. All campuses are all in the low tiers.
 - b. Includes access to all digital content and covers the APCs for CSU authors.
 - c. Everyone seemed fairly supportive of this.
3. JSTOR
 - a. JSTOR - has changed their business building - no longer adding collections. They also gave us access to everything March 2020 until January 2024. Going forward, all the journal collections will be part of the ECC.
 - b. This does not include Artstor or ebooks. Those will continue as separate offers.
4. OUP
 - a. Moving ahead with the fallback plan for 2024. Ann is continuing to negotiate with SCELIC and the UC for a 2025 read and publish agreement.
5. Gale
 - a. Gale provided upgrade options to Gale Research Complete when asked for the normal database renewal pricing. They have not yet provided regular renewal pricing. The upgrade pricing to Gale Research Complete includes databases, ebooks, and archives. It is larger than the Gale Access Program, so will be anywhere from a moderate to very large increase in cost.
 - b. Ann wants to know if anyone is interested in her negotiating for this. A couple people wanted more information about the content and their pricing, but most said they were not interested.
6. Emerald
 - a. Emerald is in transition. Closed their North American salesforce. Have just hired staff to manage consortia clients.

- b. They are eliminating the EM120 package for 2024 (that is the opt-in we get). This package included the top 120 journals they publish. Ann will ensure we don't lose access while she negotiates. There are a few options:
 - i. Full package (310 titles) – which would be a very lost cost increase.
Could negotiate for a read and publish agreement.
 - ii. Campuses could move to a subject collection(s). Ann has usage data for each campus's highest use subject collection, but it doesn't match well with actual title usage from the EM 120. So, it's not a perfect solution for any campus. Ann will share this data with the campuses.
 - iii. Move to title by title and not a package. Not sure that Emerald will allow this at the consortium level. It may need to become local.
 - iv. 8 campuses do not subscribe to EM 120.
 - v. There seemed to be little interest in the whole package. There are not many CSU authors publishing in Emerald journals, so a read and publish agreement is not that compelling.
7. Consortia Manager Training that they are planning:
- a. How to find how much ECC is paid on each campus's behalf.
 - b. Lots of reports that can be generated.
 - c. Kirstie is working on creating FAQ/help (under a ? in the upper corner).
 - d. Email Kirstie any topics that you'd like training on or have questions about.
 - e. She may offer drop-in office hours.

Submitted by Lee Adams